

The Truth

PPBureau Training Division In Custody Death Review - Case #06-84962

Completed by October 2007

“Analysis of the initial contact with Mr. Chasse:

Upon review of this case file, no articulated information was found that documented the fact that Mr. Chasse had committed a crime; or that he displayed behavior, that indicated he was dangerous to himself and/or others, prior to the initiation of the foot pursuit and knock-down technique. The following observations and perceptions are factors that Officer Humphreys said he used when he decided to pursue Mr. Chasse:

- a. The belief that Mr. Chasse had urinated in public.
- b. Mr. Chasse possibly had an outstanding warrant for his arrest.
- c. Mr. Chasse possibly had illegal drugs in his possession.
- d. Mr. Chasse might have been armed with some sort of weapon.
- e. Mr. Chasse screamed, had the look of sheer terror on his face, and ran from the police.

Although the belief that Mr. Chasse had urinated in public may be reason enough to contact him on the street, initiating the foot pursuit and deploying the knock-down technique, based on the above information, is in-consistent with the Training Division’s Tactical Doctrine.”

What the Citizens on the Use of Force Review Board Were Told

PPB Training Division In Custody Death Review - Case #06-84962

As Presented to the Use of Force Review Board in September 2008

“Analysis of the Initial Contact

[OMITTED]

- The belief that Mr. Chasse had urinated in public.
- Mr. Chasse possibly had an outstanding warrant for his arrest.
- Mr. Chasse possibly had illegal drugs in his possession.
- Mr. Chasse might have been armed with some sort of weapon.
- Mr. Chasse screamed, had the look of sheer terror on his face, and ran from the police.”

[OMITTED]